

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11



Golden Oak Community

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. School Information

Contact Information (2010-11 School Year)

This section provides the school's contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Golden Oak Community	District Name	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary
Street	25201 Via Princessa	Phone Number	(661)252-5131
City, State, Zip	Santa Clarita, CA, 91387	Website	http://www.sssd.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	661-251-8929	Superintendent	Dr. Robert Nolet, Ed.D.
Principal	Mrs. Gayle Abril	E-mail Address	bnolet@sssd.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	gabril@sssd.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	19650450116616

Principal's Message (2009-10 School Year)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Opening its doors in August of 2008, Golden Oak Community School is the newest addition to the Sulphur Springs Union School District. Nestled in what will be the Golden Valley development, Golden Oak is the result of a partnership with developer, Pardee Homes, and the District. Golden Oak was built to house 500 students with a current enrollment of 470 students who were pulled from Fair Oaks Ranch and Valley View Community Schools. Our typical student comes from a single family home. Less than 10% of the student population participates in the Free & Reduced Lunch Program. Approximately, 12% of our students have a first language that is other than English.

Golden Oak is proud of the state of the art technology present in all classrooms which includes the Computer and Science Labs. Each class is a "Smart Classroom" which includes an interactive white board called a Smart Board, digital doc camera and a sound enhancement system. All of this allows for instance access and information through the internet and keeps students actively engaged to learning.

In 2010, Golden Oak made history by being the first school in our District to achieve an Academic Performance Index score of 903. We contribute this success to following a Professional Learning Community model. Our vision and mission is to deliver a challenging curriculum that is based on the California State Standards. Instruction is guided by grade level common assessments identifying the current level of student learning. Grade level teachers collaboratively plan lessons to meet the individual student needs. Effective use

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

of a wide range of teaching strategies and a variety of high quality, readily available materials and highly qualified teachers bring the curriculum to life.

Currently, there are 19 regular education teachers of Kindergarten through Sixth Grades. Student learning is supported by a 40% Speech and Language Specialist, a 50% Resource Specialist Program Teacher, and a 20% School Psychologist. Classified employees include a full time Librarian, a Computer Lab Specialist and a Reading Aide.

To provide a strong foundation to our youngest students, Golden Oak School provides a Full Day Kindergarten Program. The Kindergarten class size is 22 students. This program was first piloted here and when found to be highly successful, it was adopted by all of the other schools in our district.

Golden Oak is rich with parent support and involvement. Parent volunteers are visible in every classroom. There is a strong partnership between school personnel and the PTA. We share a common goal of inspiring students to be their personal best.

During our first year, we created school traditions to follow for the years to come. We value each event and feel very fortunate to be a part of the "Little School With A Big Heart".

Parental Involvement (2009-10 School Year)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Contact Person Name:	Gayle Abril	Contact Person Phone Number:	661-251-8929
-----------------------------	-------------	-------------------------------------	--------------

Parent support and involvement is a hallmark of Golden Oak School along with the Sulphur Springs Union School District. Our commitment to community involvement is demonstrated by providing a variety of access avenues. The Golden Oak PTA is our largest parent organization. The PTA plans and sponsors many school events: Fall Festival, Family Dance, Holiday Boutique, Red Ribbon Week, Casino Night, and Ice Cream Socials just to name a few. Our School Site Council provides elected parent representatives to provide input on our School Plan, School Budget and School Safety Plan. Golden Oak is rich with parent volunteers who can be found daily in the office, Library and classrooms.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2007-08				2008-09				2009-10			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
Kindergarten	0	0	0	0	28	0	6	0	24	0	3	0
Grade 1	0	0	0	0	19	3	0	0	21	2	2	0
Grade 2	0	0	0	0	20	2	0	0	20	2	1	0
Grade 3	0	0	0	0	18	3	0	0	20	3	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0	0	28	0	1	0	28	0	2	0
Grade 5	0	0	0	0	29	0	1	0	31	0	1	0
Grade 6	0	0	0	0	24	0	1	0	31	0	2	0

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

K-3	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4-8	0	0	0	0	27	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (2009-10 School Year)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	74	Grade 8	0
Grade 1	85	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	61	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	59	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	51	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	43	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	61	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	0	Total Enrollment	434

Student Enrollment by Group (2009-10 School Year)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	6.5%	White (not Hispanic)	50.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	Two or More Races	0.7%
Asian	9.0%	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15.0%
Filipino	2.1%	English Learners	11.0%
Hispanic or Latino	29.0%	Students with Disabilities	8.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.9%	n/a	--

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

II. School Safety and Facilities

School Safety Plan (2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Date School Safety Plan last reviewed:	March 2010
---	------------

Every student and staff member has the right to attend a safe school where he or she is free from physical or psychological harm. The School Safety Plan includes:

- School disaster procedures
- Guidelines to ensure a safe teaching and learning environment
- Guidelines to promote safe travel to and from school
- District and community resources for students and parents
- Guidelines to promote an environment where students, parents, staff and the community communicate in a manner that is respectful to all cultural, racial, and religious backgrounds
- Promoting positive behavior and character through school Discipline Policy based on Character Counts Program

Suspensions & Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

	School			District		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Suspension Rate	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	3.0%	5.0%	2.0%
Expulsion Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

School Facility Conditions

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Golden Oak's construction was completed in the spring of 2008. The campus is comprised of three main buildings. The main building is single story and houses the administrative offices and the multi-purpose room. The other two buildings are two story and house classrooms, the Library, Computer Lab and Science Lab. Golden Oak has both hard and green scape. There is a full soccer field along with a running track. A separated play area is provided for our kindergarten students. Supervision is provided twenty minutes before and twenty minutes after the instructional hours.

Planned Improvements (2010-11 School Year)

With the school being built two years ago, there is not a need for any planned improvements.

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

School Facility Good Repair Status (2010-11 School Year)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Systems and Pipes, Mechanical Systems (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning), Sewer	X			N/A
Interior: Interior Surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls, and window casings)	X			N/A
Cleanliness: Pest/Vermin Control, Overall Cleanliness (school grounds, buildings, rooms, and common areas)	X			N/A
Electrical: Electrical Systems (interior and exterior)	X			N/A
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Drinking Fountains (interior and exterior)	X			N/A
Safety: Fire Safety Equipment, Emergency Systems, Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	X			N/A
Structural: Structural Condition, Roofs	X			N/A
External: Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences, Playgrounds/School Grounds	X			N/A

Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (2010-11 School Year)

	Facility Condition			
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Summary	X			
Date of inspection:	1/31/11			
Completion date of inspection form:	1/31/11			

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

III. Curriculum and Academics

Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (2010-11 School Year)

This section provides information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

All students, including English Language Learners, receive textbooks adopted from the most recent state approved list. All textbooks are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the California State Board of Education.

In the Sulphur Springs School, the adoption process begins with a committee of teachers, parents and administrators representing each school in the district. Much time is spent learning the standards and deciding upon the criteria to use when selecting the textbook. Once the criteria for selection has been decided then the publishing companies are invited to come and present their programs to the adoption committee. Members of the adoption committee spend hours perusing the books and extra materials looking for the program that will best meet the needs of all of our students. Once the committee feels comfortable with a program or two, sets of the materials are sent to each school site. At this time all teachers and parents are invited to come and preview the materials for themselves. Input from this preview is collected by the committee members and studied.

Finally the adoption committee makes a recommendation to the board based on the criteria designed prior to reviewing any programs, information from the previews and the hours spent studying and comparing the available programs. The adoption committee makes their recommendation to the Board of Trustees at a regularly scheduled board meeting. Once the board approves the textbook, materials are ordered and staff development is planned to provide all teachers with information about the new program and how to use it in their classrooms during the next school year.

It is the goal of the State Board of Education as well as the Sulphur Springs Board of Trustees that any instructional materials used in the Sulphur Springs schools meet the California Content Standards and be appropriate for use in all of our classrooms.

Textbook and Instructional Materials List

Textbooks and instructional materials used in the district in the core subject areas of English-Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and History-Social Science.

Subject Area	Textbook Title and/or Publisher	Year of Adoption
English-Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin Reading Language (K-6)	2003
History-Social Science	Harcourt History-Social Science (6), Scott Foresman History-Social Science (K-5)	2007, 2007
Mathematics	EnVision Mathematics (K-6)	2009
Other		
Science	Scott Foresman California Science (3-6), Houghton Mifflin California Science (K-2)	2008, 2008

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

This table displays information about the percent of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials

Core Curriculum Area	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0.0%
Mathematics	0.0%
Science	0.0%
History-Social Science	0.0%
Foreign Language	N/A
Health	0.0%
Visual and Performing Arts	0.0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (Grades 9-12)	N/A

Textbook Information Collection Date:	9/1/10
---------------------------------------	--------

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Adoption

This table displays whether the textbooks and instructional materials are from the most recent adoption.

	Yes or No
Most recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Yes

Textbook Insufficiency (if applicable)

This section provides information if any insufficiency exists, and the reason that each pupil does not have sufficient textbooks or instructional materials

N/A

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The assessments under the STAR program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through nine, mathematics for grades three through seven and Algebra I and science in grades five, eight, and ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	0.0%	73.0%	77.0%	0.0%	60.0%	62.0%	0.0%	50.0%	52.0%
Mathematics	0.0%	80.0%	78.0%	0.0%	63.0%	62.0%	0.0%	46.0%	48.0%
Science	0.0%	88.0%	70.0%	0.0%	60.0%	71.0%	0.0%	50.0%	54.0%
Social Science	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.0%	44.0%

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Level			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the District	62.0%	62.0%	71.0%	0.0%
All Students at the School	77.0%	78.0%	70.0%	0.0%
Black or African American	65.0%	53.0%	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*		
Asian	91.0%	91.0%	0.0%	
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	67.0%	71.0%	50.0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*		
White (not Hispanic)	80.0%	82.0%	71.0%	

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Male	66.0%	73.0%	68.0%	
Female	88.0%	84.0%	71.0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	62.0%	69.0%	0.0%	
English Learners	45.0%	66.0%	0.0%	
Students with Disabilities	38.0%	62.0%	*	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found at the Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	10%	26.7%	48.3%
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

API Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	0	0	9
Similar Schools	0	0	6

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years.

Group	Actual API Change		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All Students at the School	0	0	10
Black or African American	N/A	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	--	--
Asian	N/A	--	AS
Filipino	N/A	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	--	--
White (not Hispanic)	0	0	-2
Two or More Races	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
English Learners	N/A	--	--
Students with Disabilities	N/A	--	--

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2010 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the 2010 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

Group	2010 Growth API		
	School	District	State
All Students at the School	903	828	800
Black or African American	--	785	731
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	753
Asian	--	937	910
Filipino	--	--	880

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Hispanic or Latino	860	771	752
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	794
White (not Hispanic)	915	876	868
Two or More Races	--	896	861
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	759	747
English Learners	--	743	742
Students with Disabilities	--	638	648

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the AYP Web page <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

AYP Overall and by Criteria (2009-10 School Year)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate – English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate – Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient – English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient – Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Federal Intervention Program (2010-11 School Year)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	--	2
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	--	22.0%

IV. Teachers & Support Staff

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
With Full Credential	0	20	18	250
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	--

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicators	School		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (2009-10 School Year)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes in Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100%	0.0%
All Schools in District	100%	0.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	100%	0.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100%	0.0%

Professional Development

This section provides information on how teachers and staff are trained for instructional improvement.

The Sulphur Springs School District is firmly committed to the continual development and improvement of its teachers. To this end, the district provides professional development activities and training to enhance teacher effectiveness annually. During the 2009-2010 school year all teachers were provided with the opportunity to attend conferences, workshops, seminars, and other staff development opportunities. Each Tuesday students were released one hour early to provide teachers with site-based professional development opportunities that include site-based training, grade level collaborative planning, and independent planning. Numerous staff meetings focused on analyzing student performance of state and local assessments and how to modify instruction to better meet students' needs. Staff development topics covered all curriculum areas although there was an emphasis on reading, writing, supporting English learners, assessment, science and diversity.

Professional Development Days

This table displays information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Indicator	Professional Development Days		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Annual number of school days dedicated to staff development	N / A	Three.	Three.

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (2009-10 School Year)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	--	N/A
Counselor (Social /Behavioral or Career Development)	--	--
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	--	--
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	--
Psychologist	.33	--
Social Worker	--	--
Nurse	.2	--
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4	--
Resource Specialist (teaching)	.5	--
Other	--	--

V. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (2008-09 Fiscal Year)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the Current Expense of Education Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School	\$5,271.74	\$285.95	\$4,985.79	\$69,317.19
District	--	--	\$5,401.56	\$67,951.00
State	--	--	\$5,681.00	\$68,212.00
Percent Difference – School and District	--	--	7.7%	.8%
Percent Difference – School and State	--	--	12.2%	.8%

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for the 2009-10 School Year

Published During 2010-11

Types of Services Funded (2009-10 Fiscal Year)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Categorical dollars support training for teachers in working with special populations of students (English Learners, Gifted and Talented Students - GATE) and general teacher training. Funds are also allocated to the site to support intervention programs for students who are at risk academically.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (2008-09 Fiscal Year)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Range	District	Sate Average for Districts in the Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,527.00	\$41,988.00
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,599.00	\$68,649.00
Highest Teacher Salary	\$85,783.00	\$87,156.00
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$100,558.00	\$109,026.00
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0.00	\$112,489.00
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0.00	\$113,872.00
Superintendent Salary	\$157,183.00	\$181,890.00
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.7%	42.11%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.2%	5.52%

VI. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.