Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13



Pinetree Community Elementary

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides the school's contact information.

	School	District		
School Name	Pinetree Community Elementary	District Name	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary	
Street	29156 Lotusgarden Dr.	Phone Number	(661)252-5131	
City, State, Zip	Canyon Country, CA 91387	Web Site	http://www.sssd.k12.ca.us	
Phone Number	661-298-2280	Superintendent	Dr. Robert Nolet, Ed.D.	
Principal	Jane D'Anna	E-mail Address	bnolet@sssd.k12.ca.us	
E-mail Address	jdanna@sssd.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	19-65045-6107205	

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Pinetree Community School Mission Statement

Pinetree Community School students will be proficient or advanced in essential state content level standards. They will acquire life skills and positive behaviors to become successful human beings. Student learning is a shared responsibility that involves parents, teachers, classified staff, and students. Grade level collaboration and analysis of common assessments drives instruction for enrichment and intervention.

We have been making significant gains in closing the achievement gap with our Hispanic and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students. We achieved our API and AYP goals. A large part of our success can be attributed to the excellence of our experienced teaching staff. This is the fourth year of our Professional Learning Community (PLC) at Pinetree. Our PLC operates on four essential questions; 1. What do we want students to learn? 2. How will we know they learned it? 3. What will we do when they do? 4. What will we do when they don't?

Part of our success can be attributed to our response to intervention model.

We are continuing with our Pinetree Accelerated Curriculum Time-English Language Development (PACT/ELD) response to intervention model. PACT/ELD is an opportunity for teachers to offer remediation or enrichment according to students' needs. Students are given a multitude of tests based on a grade level standard already taught in class. Then students will be grouped in either advanced/proficient, basic, below-basic, and far-below-basic. Each group will be targeting the standard at the students' instructional level for 35 minutes of direction instruction 1st-6th (5 days a week) and 30 minutes for K. Students who have mastery will be able to extend their learning by exploring other content areas connected to the standard. PACT/ELD provides students with differentiated instruction and flexible grouping to ensure that students are appropriately placed. PACT/ELD supports the District's goals to raise reading comprehension scores and meet the needs of all students from advanced to far below basic.

Professional development is also another point of pride. Over 75% of our teachers are GLAD (Guided Language Acquisition and Design) trained. The goal is to have all teachers trained. This will help us smoothly transition into the common core and help students use their higher ordered thinking skills.

Pinetree teachers receive a 45 minute grade level release during the regular school day called PACT Enrichment Release time. Three credentialed teachers teacher music, art, and movement (rotation schedule). This gives the teachers a dedicated amount of time to collaborate, plan, and monitor student progress.

Parents are an integral part of the school community. The PTA devotes many volunteer hours to support our academic program. Additionally, they provide funds, which support programs such as music, physical education, and art as well as technology, disaster preparedness, and other site needs.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Contact Person Name: Jan Evans Contact Person Phone Number: (661) 298-2280

Classroom teachers provide opportunities for parents to support the instructional program through presentations, working with small groups or individual students, or providing time to prepare materials. Parents can become involved in supporting the school by joining the PTA and participating in PTA sponsored events. Some the annual events are the Harvest Festival, Book Fairs, Celebrations Around The World, Parent/Student Dances, Movie Nights, and the Tri-R-Athon.

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	85	Grade 8	
Grade 1	78	Ungraded Elementary	
Grade 2	78	Grade 9	
Grade 3	115	Grade 10	
Grade 4	98	Grade 11	
Grade 5	90	Grade 12	
Grade 6	104	Ungraded Secondary	
Grade 7		Total Enrollment	648

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	
Black or African American	5.90%	Hispanic or Latino	18.10%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.50%	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.30%	
Asian	3.20%	Two or More Races	4.80%	
Filipino	0.60%	White	66.70%	
Hispanic or Latino	18.10%	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30.20%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.30%	English Learners	5.70%	
Two or More Races	4.80%	Students with Disabilities	11.60%	

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

		2009-10 2010-11				2011	-12					
	Avg. Class	Numbe	er of Class	srooms	Avg. Class	Numbe	er of Class	srooms	Avg. Class	Numbe	er of Class	srooms
Grade Level	Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Size	1-20	21-32	33+
Kindergarten	23.0	0	3	0	18.3	4	0	0	18.8	4	0	0
1	22.0	0	4	0	21.3	4	0	0	19.4	4	1	0
2	21.0	1	4	0	22.0	2	3	0	19.5	2	2	0
3	22.0	0	4	0	23.5	1	3	0	26.8	0	4	0
4	31.0	0	3	0	30.3	0	3	0	31.7	0	3	0
5	29.0	0	3	0	27.3	1	3	0	27.7	0	3	0
6	29.0	0	3	0	28.7	0	3	0	27.5	1	1	2
k-3												
3-4												
4-8												
Other												

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Every student and staff member has the right to attend a safe school where he or she is free from physical or psychological harm. The School Safety Plan includes:

- -School Handbook
- -School Safety Plan
- -Character Education
- -School Suspension Guidelines
- -District/Community Resources For Students and Parents
- -Annual Staff Harassment Training
- -Safety Training Videos
- -Student Behavior Assemblies

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

	School			pool District		
Rate	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Suspensions	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Date School Safety Plan last reviewed: 1/22/2013

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Pinetree Community School was built in 1988 and therefore is 24 years old. The school has two main "pod" buildings with central instructional space as well as 16 portable classrooms. All classrooms have access to the Internet and close-circuit cable television. Allclassrooms and the cafeteria have air conditioning and forced air heat. There are restrooms located in the upper grade wing, the kindergarten classroom area and the primary grade wing. Restrooms are cleaned daily as is the cafeteria, classrooms, and grounds. The campus also has a computer lab with 31 computers, SMART Board, and a closed-circuit television studio. There is also a science lab in the upper grade wing equipped with a SMART Board for hands-on science experiments. The school also has a cafeteria and full kitchen with indoor seating that opens to an amphitheater. Pinetree has a large library with books, tables, carpet area, and computer center for learning. The play area consists of a large field with a 1/5-mile track, soccer field, and softball fields. There is a large jungle gym and sand box area, two black top areas for primary and upper grade students to play tetherball, handball, volleyball, and basketball. There is a separate sandbox area for kindergarten students with swings, jungle gym, and a black top play area. There is a sunshade at the entrance of the school for the students to wait for valet pick-up after school. The school has prepared a well-stocked emergency bin located on campus.

Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-2013)

This year's planned improvements include the create of one Smart Classroom pilot. As funds become available, SMART Boards will be purchased and installed in these classrooms. Handprints were added to a mural outside of our front office.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Good Fair Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	х			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin	х			
Electrical: Electrical	х			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks	Х			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	х			
External: Playground/School Grounds	х			

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

		Facility Condition			
Item Inspected	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall Summary		х			

Date of inspection: 1/28/2013

Completion date of inspection form: 12/17/2012

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

		District		
Teachers	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential	28	26	27	0
Without Full Credential				257
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	n/a

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects			
Location of Classes	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers		
This School	100.00%	0.00%		
All Schools in District	100.00%	0.00%		
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.00%	0.00%		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.00%	0.00%		

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.00	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.00	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.00	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1.00	
Psychologist	0.40	
Social Worker	0.00	
Nurse	0.20	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.80	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.00	
Other	0.00	

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

Textbook Information

This section provides information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

All students, including English Language Learners, receive textbooks adopted from the most recent state approved list. All textbooks are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the California State Board of Education. In the Sulphur Springs School District, the adoption process begins with a committee of teachers, parents and administrators representing each school in the district. Much time is spent learning the standards and deciding upon the criteria to use when selecting the textbook. Once the criteria for selection has been decided then the publishing companies are invited to come and present their programs to the adoption committee. Members of the adoption committee spend hours perusing the books and extra materials looking for the program that will best meet the needs of all of our students. Once the committee feels comfortable with a program or two, sets of the materials are sent to each school site. At this time all teachers and parents are invited to come and preview the materials for themselves. Input from this preview is collected by the committee members and studied. Finally the adoption committee makes a recommendation to the Board based on the criteria designed prior to reviewing any programs,

information from the previews and the hours spent studying and comparing the available programs. The adoption committee makes their recommendation to the Board of Trustees at a regularly scheduled board meeting. Once the Board approves the textbook, materials are ordered and staff development is planned to provide all teachers with information about the new program and how to use it in their classrooms during the next school year. It is the goal of the State Board of Education as well as the Sulphur Springs Board of Trustees that any instructional materials used in the Sulphur Springs schools meet the California Content Standards and be appropriate for use in all of our classrooms.

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

This section provides information if any insufficiency exists, and the reason that each pupil does not have sufficient textbooks or instructional materials.

Textbook Availability

Textbooks and instructional materials used in the district in the core subject areas of English-Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and History-Social Science

Subject Area	Textbook Title and/or Publisher	Year of Adoption
English-Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin Reading Language (K-6)	2003
History-Social Science	Hartcourt History-Social Science (6)	2007
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman History Social Science (K-5)	2007
Mathematics	Pearson enVision Math (K-6)	2009
Science	Scott Foresman California Science (3-6)	2008
Science	Houghton Mifflin California Science (K-2)	2008

Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials

This table displays information about the percent of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials

Core Curriculum Area	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%
Foreign Language	0%
Health	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9- 12)	0%

Textbook Information Collection Date: 9/2/12

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the Current Expense of Education Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/ and teacher salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/es/.

Subject Area	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental) Expenditures Per Fupil (Basic)		Average Teacher Salary
School	\$5,397.50	\$874.39	\$4,523.12	\$73,997.81
District		\$989.29		\$68,966.00
Percent Difference - School and District			78.13 %	6.80 %
State		\$5,455.00		\$68,488.00
Percent Difference - School and State			-20.60 %	7.45 %

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Categorical dollars support training for teachers in working with special populations of students (English Learners, Gifted and Talented Students - GATE) and general teacher training to support differentiated instruction to meet ALL students' needs. Funds are also allocated to the site to support intervention programs for students who are at risk academically.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Range	District	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,634.00	\$41,246.00
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,210.00	\$67,400.00
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,938.00	\$85,481.00
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$98,651.00	\$107,739.00
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$111,540.00
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$110,146.00
Superintendent Salary	\$160,123.00	\$180,572.00
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40.0%	42.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.0%	5.0%

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov">http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

	School			District			State		
Subject	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	62%	62%	64%	47%	52%	51%	52%	54%	56%
Mathematics	63%	64%	72%	49%	55%	57%	48%	50%	51%
Science	82%	86%	81%	49%	51%	56%	54%	57%	60%
History-Social Science	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	44%	48%	49%

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

	Percentage of Students Scoring At Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
Black or African American	65%	62%	0%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Asian	63%	75%	0%	0%		
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	60%	65%	70%	0%		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Two or More Races	57%	71%	0%	0%		
White	68%	79%	87%	0%		
Male	57%	71%	78%	0%		
Female	72%	74%	85%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	54%	61%	82%	0%		
English Learners	33%	46%	0%	0%		
Students with Disabilities	34%	45%	0%	0%		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found at the Physical Fitness Testing Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards								
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards								
5	21.70%	20.70%	44.60%						
7									
9									

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	7	7	7
Similar Schools	3	1	2

API Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

	Sch	ool	Dist	rict	St	ate	School -	Actual API	Change
Group	Number of Students	Growth API	Number of Students	Growth API	Number of Students	Growth API	09-10	10-11	11-12
All Students at the School	467	854	3,859	844	4,664,264	788	4	6	14
Black or African American	23	868	281	818	313,201	710			
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	1	12	839	31,606	742			
Asian	16	832	185	945	404,670	905			
Filipino	0		45	935	124,824	869			
Hispanic or Latino	167	819	1,797	796	2,425,230	740	23	18	11
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2		22	887	26,563	775			
Two or More Races	15	905	209	920	88,428	849			
White	240	878	1,306	886	1,221,860	853	3	3	21
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	127	797	1,720	786	2,779,680	737	35	8	50
English Learners	52	784	1,079	781	1,530,297	716			
Students with Disabilities	57	647	446	690	530,935	607			

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the AYP Web page http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	n/a	n/a

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		44.4%

Reported for School Year 2011-12

Published During 2012-13

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on how teachers and staff are trained for instructional improvement.

The Sulphur Springs School District is firmly committed to the continual development and improvement of its teachers. The district provides professional development activities and training to enhance teacher effectiveness annually. Each Tuesday students are released one hour early to provide teachers with site-based professional development opportunities that include site-based trainings, grade level collaborative planning, and independent planning. Numerous staff meetings focused on analyzing student performance of state and local assessments and how to modify instruction to better meet students' needs. Staff development topics covered all curriculum areas although there was an emphasis on reading, writing, supporting English learners, assessment, science and diversity.

Professional Development Days

This table displays information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

	Instructional Days With At Least 180 Instructional Minutes				
	2009-10 2010-11 2011-12				
Annual number of school days dedicated to staff development	3	3	3		